comment

Published by The Society of Operations Engineers

Shaun Stephenson EngTech FSOE FIRTE

Executive Director

Ian Chisholm BA IEng FSOE FIRTE FCILT

The Society of Operations Engineers licensed member of the Engineering Counci

Registered in Engla Company No 3667147

Registered Charity

A Company Limited by Guarantee

Registered Office

22 Greencoat Place London SW1P 1PR Tel: 020 7630 1111 Fax: 020 7630 1111 Fax: 020 7630 6677 Email: soe@soe.org.uk www.soe.org.uk

Editor Brian Tinham BSc CEng MInstMC FSOE Email: btinham@findlav.co.uk

Contributing Editors Brian Weatherley, John Challen, Ian Norwell, Laura Cork, Steve Banner, Toby Clark

Martin Cherry, Neil Young

Production Manager Nicki McKenna

Email: nmckenna@findlav.co.uk

Advertisement Manager

Craig Molloy
Email: cmolloy@findlay.co.uk
Tel: 01322 221144

Peter Knutton

Transport Engineer is the official journal of IRTE. Produced on behalf of IRTE by Findlay Media Ltd, Hawley Mill, Hawley Road, Dartford, Kent DA2 7TJ Tel: 01322 221144

Transport Engineer is distributed free of charge to SOE

members, dependent on membership sector. For non-members, the annual subscription rate (12 issues) is £77 UK and EU, or £79 airmail outside EU. For other SOE members, the discounted rate is £31.

Printed by Pensord Press UK

0020-3122

Some of the articles and guidance included in Transport Engineer may make a contribution to your personal CPD requirements.

Views expressed in Transport Engineer are views expressed in Halsport Engineer and those of the writers and do not necessarily reflect the views of The Society of Operatio Engineers or of Findlay Media Ltd. © 2015 The Society of Operations Engineers







Time for new rigour on vehicle emissions

lith the VW scandal dominating the headlines, as we go to press transport engineers are wondering why one of the planet's giants of engineering could have allowed software to cheat emissions tests. They might also wonder how much more than the £4.7 billion VW has set aside this is likely to cost the company when lawyers around the world get stuck in. Current estimates top £11.5 billion in US Environmental Protection Agency fines alone.

Closer to home, people could be forgiven for speculating that other manufacturers might have taken similar courses, and be 'fixing' NOx and particulates emissions tests to improve fuel efficiencies. If so, that could backfire big time not only on companies concerned (and human health), but transport as a whole, gifting the anti-diesel lobby ammunition. It could also decimate fleets' residual values.

What about light commercial vehicle makers, too? And heavy-duty truck and engine manufacturers? As yet, there is no suggestion that any are implicated. It's also fair to say that emissions testing on CVs, certainly in Europe, is tightly controlled. Indeed, Euro 6 trucks will be required to prove compliance in operation for a full seven years via ODB (onboard diagnostics). Not so for Euro 5 and earlier though...

Speculation aside, VW's woes should also shine the spotlight on the relevance of current vehicle emission testing, based, as it is, on the world harmonised transient cycle. As TRL principal consultant Tim Barlow puts it, today's testing methods are "outdated and offer room for error or optimisation". He and many others in transport are pushing for a better test regime based on much more realistic duty cycles, or even emissions recorded from vehicles on the road.

If any good is to come out of this sorry saga, it is to be hoped that the EC revisits emission testing as a matter of urgency. The industry needs to regain the confidence of operators and the public.

Brian Tinham BSc CEng MInstMC FSOE FIPlantE FIRTE

Editor